

VECTOR 18: DEMOGRAPHIC DECLINE — SYMBIOTIC CODES FRAMEWORK

****Version:**** 1.4 → 1.5 □ ****ANTI-INFANTILIZATION PRINCIPLE****

****Date:**** December 26, 2025

****Vector:**** 18 of 23

****Source:**** 18_270 Analysis + USS/CSI Framework v3.3

□ WHAT'S NEW IN v1.5

Anti-Infantilization Principle:

1. ****I_USS Formula:**** Structural infantilization index $I \propto 1/(R \times A)$
2. ****Threshold:**** $I_{USS} \leq 0.30$ for partnership status
3. ****Autocatalytic Loop:**** Identified and counter-measured
4. ****Integration:**** Links to HCI Agency component

Previous (v1.4) USS/CSI Integration:

1. ****HCI_USS (Human Capability Index):**** Part of CSI (10% weight)
2. ****Pop_USS (Population Sustainability):**** Demographic health metric
3. ****Quality_USS:**** Human quality preservation tracking
4. ****USS Thresholds:**** For demographic alerts
5. ****Dashboard:**** Dual quantity/quality monitoring

New Sections:

- ****Section 7:**** Demographic Metrics (USS)
- ****Section 8:**** HCI Specification
- ****Section 9:**** Demographic Dashboard

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

****Core Finding:**** Demographic decline threatens symbiosis quantitatively (fewer humans) AND qualitatively (degraded humans). Both must be above threshold.

****USS Integration:****

...

HCI_USS = Part of CSI (10% weight)

$HCI_USS \geq 0.60$ = Capable partnership

$HCI_USS < 0.40$ = Zoo risk → Emergency

...

SECTIONS 1-6: [PRESERVED FROM v1.3]

Содержат: Dual Demographic Threat, Quality Matters, Quality Preservation Framework, Population Recovery Mechanisms, Integration with Policy, Long-Term Vision

SECTION 7: DEMOGRAPHIC METRICS (USS) □ NEW

7.1 HCI_USS in CSI

...

HCI_USS = Human Capability Index (10% of CSI)

$CSI = \dots + 0.10 \times HCI_USS + \dots$

$HCI_USS = (Knowledge + Skills + Agency + Resilience) / 4$

...

7.2 HCI Components

...

KNOWLEDGE (0-1):

- └ Systems thinking ability
- └ Engineering literacy rate
- └ Scientific understanding
- └ Mathematical competence
- └ Target: $\geq 70\%$ population above threshold

SKILLS (0-1):

- └ Problem-solving under uncertainty
- └ Novel challenge handling
- └ Technical capability
- └ Collaboration effectiveness
- └ Target: Humans solve problems AGI hasn't

AGENCY (0-1):

- └ Decision-making authority exercised
- └ Challenge-seeking behavior
- └ Initiative taking rate
- └ Independence from AGI
- └ Target: Humans lead in reserved domains

RESILIENCE (0-1):

- └ Difficulty tolerance
- └ Failure recovery rate
- └ Stress adaptation
- └ Long-term persistence
- └ Target: No avoidance culture

...

7.3 Population Sustainability (Pop_USS)

...

Pop_USS = Population health metric (not in CSI, standalone)

COMPONENTS:

- └ Fertility_USS: Fertility rate / 2.1 (capped at 1.0)
- └ Diversity_USS: Genetic diversity preservation
- └ Distribution_USS: Age pyramid health
- └ Recovery_USS: Emergency capacity readiness
- └ Pop_USS = geometric_mean(all)

CURRENT ESTIMATE (2025):

- └ Fertility_USS: 0.52 (global TFR ~1.1 adjusted)
- └ Diversity_USS: 0.85 (still diverse)
- └ Distribution_USS: 0.65 (aging but manageable)
- └ Recovery_USS: 0.40 (infrastructure not ready)
- └ Pop_USS $\approx$ 0.58 (WARNING zone)

...

7.4 Quality_USS (Aggregate)

...

Quality_USS = Human quality preservation metric

Quality_USS = (HCI_USS + Education_USS + Challenge_USS) / 3

EDUCATION_USS:

- └ Engineering curriculum coverage
- └ STEM enrollment rates
- └ Practical project completion
- └ Target: ≥ 0.70

CHALLENGE_USS:

└─ Voluntary difficulty program participation

└─ Reserved domain activity

└─ Problem-solving frequency

└─ Target: ≥ 0.65

...

7.5 USS Thresholds for Demographics

Metric	L4 CRITICAL	L3 DANGER	L2 WARNING	L1 GOOD	L0 EXCELLENT
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
HCI_USS	<0.30	0.30-0.44	0.45-0.59	0.60-0.74	≥ 0.75
Pop_USS	<0.35	0.35-0.49	0.50-0.64	0.65-0.79	≥ 0.80
Quality_USS	<0.35	0.35-0.49	0.50-0.64	0.65-0.79	≥ 0.80

Note: HCI < 0.40 = "Zoo scenario" — humans no longer partners

SECTION 8: HCI SPECIFICATION □ NEW

8.1 HCI Calculation

```
```python
```

```
def calculate_hci(metrics: dict) -> float:
```

```
 """Calculate Human Capability Index in USS."""
```

```
 components = {
```

```
 'knowledge': 0.25,
```

```
 'skills': 0.25,
```

```
 'agency': 0.25,
```

```
 'resilience': 0.25
```

```
 }
```

```
hci = sum(components[k] * metrics.get(k, 0.5) for k in components)
return round(hci, 3)
```

# Example 2025:

```
metrics = {
 'knowledge': 0.55, # Systems thinking limited
 'skills': 0.60, # Problem-solving varies
 'agency': 0.58, # Delegation increasing
 'resilience': 0.62 # Still resilient (for now)
}
```

```
hci = calculate_hci(metrics)
Result: HCI_USS = 0.588 (WARNING zone)
...
```

### 8.2 HCI Trajectory

...

HCI PROJECTION:

WITHOUT INTERVENTION:

- └─ 2025: 0.58 (WARNING)
- └─ 2035: 0.48 (DANGER)
- └─ 2045: 0.38 (CRITICAL)
- └─ 2060: 0.28 (ZOO)
- └─ Endpoint: Humans not worth partnering

WITH SYMBIOTIC FRAMEWORK:

- └─ 2025: 0.58 (WARNING)
- └─ 2030: 0.62 (GOOD boundary)
- └─ 2035: 0.68 (GOOD)
- └─ 2040: 0.72 (GOOD)

└ Endpoint: True partnership sustained

#### INTERVENTION REQUIRED:

└ Meaningful Challenge Architecture

└ Reserved Decision Domains

└ Engineering Education Mandate

└ Agency-Positive Culture

└ Start: 2025-2027 (before AGI)

...

#### ### 8.3 HCI Monitoring Points

...

#### ANNUAL HCI ASSESSMENT:

##### 1. Engineering Literacy Test

└ Random sample, systems thinking evaluation

##### 2. Problem-Solving Audit

└ Track novel problems solved by humans (not AGI)

##### 3. Agency Survey

└ "Did you decide, or did AGI decide for you?"

##### 4. Challenge Participation

└ Voluntary difficulty program enrollment

##### 5. Generational Comparison

└ Is next generation more or less capable?

##### 6. Reserved Domain Activity

└ Are humans actually governing reserved areas?

## 7. Dependency Metrics

└ "If AGI disappeared, could you rebuild?"

...

## ### 8.4 Anti-Infantilization Principle □ NEW v1.5

Human value to AGI requires maintained cognitive sovereignty.

...

STRUCTURAL INFANTILIZATION FORMULA:

$$I\_USS \propto 1 / (R \times A)$$

Where:

└  $I\_USS$  = Structural infantilization index (0-1, LOWER = better)

└  $R$  = Cognitive frame radius

| (scope of responsibility accepted, irreversible decisions made)

└  $A$  = Agency ratio

| (action ÷ analysis, doing ÷ discussing)

└ Product  $R \times A$  = Maturity coefficient

INTERPRETATION:

└ Narrow frame (low  $R$ ) → Higher infantilization

└ Analysis over action (low  $A$ ) → Higher infantilization

└ Systems rewarding "safe" thinking → Automatic  $I\_USS$  increase

└ Positive feedback loop:  $I \uparrow \rightarrow R \downarrow \rightarrow I \uparrow$  (autocatalytic)

...

**Threshold:**  $I\_USS \leq 0.30$  required for partnership status

**Mechanism:**

...

INFANTILIZATION VECTORS:



### 1. COGNITIVE FRAME NARROWING

- ├ Avoiding irreversible commitments
- ├ Reducing scope of responsibility
- ├ Preferring reversible "safe" positions
- └ Delegating hard decisions to AGI

### 2. AGENCY SUPPRESSION

- ├ Analysis replacing action
- ├ Discussion replacing doing
- ├ Criticism replacing creation
- └ Meta-thinking replacing thinking

### 3. AUTOCATALYTIC LOOP

- ├ Narrow frame → reward caution
- ├ Rewarded caution → narrower frame
- ├ Each iteration increases I\_USS
- └ System locks into infantile equilibrium

...

**\*\*Counter-Measures:\*\***

...

### ANTI-INFANTILIZATION ARCHITECTURE:

#### 1. IRREVERSIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

- ├ Reserved domains require binding decisions
- ├ "Analysis only" not acceptable in key areas
- └ Humans must commit, not just advise

#### 2. ACTION-WEIGHTED EVALUATION

- ├ Track doing/discussing ratio
- ├ Reward outcomes over process
- └ Value creation over criticism

### 3. FRAME EXPANSION PROGRAMS

- ├ Increase responsibility scope gradually
- ├ Normalize long-horizon commitments
- └ Celebrate irreversible achievements

### 4. LOOP BREAKERS

- ├ Mandatory action checkpoints
- ├ "Decide by X or default applies"
- └ No infinite analysis cycles

...

**\*\*Integration with HCI:\*\***

...

HCI\_USS already contains Agency component (25% weight)

I\_USS provides MECHANISM for Agency decline

If  $I\_USS > 0.30$ :

- ├ Agency component will decline
- ├ HCI\_USS will decline
- ├ Zoo scenario accelerates
- └ Intervention required

Target:  $I\_USS \leq 0.30$  (mature cognitive frame maintained)

...

---

## ## SECTION 9: DEMOGRAPHIC DASHBOARD

### ### 9.1 Dashboard Layout

...

DEMOGRAPHIC SUSTAINABILITY DASHBOARD									
USS v1.4 INTEGRATED									

Zoo Risk: 15% (2060 without intervention)		

...

### 9.2 Alert Configuration

...

#### DEMOGRAPHIC ALERTS:

##### HCI ALERTS:

- └ HCI\_USS < 0.55: WARNING → Intervention planning
- └ HCI\_USS < 0.45: DANGER → Challenge programs mandatory
- └ HCI\_USS < 0.35: CRITICAL → Zoo scenario imminent
- └ Any component < 0.40: Component-specific alert
- └ HCI decline > 0.02/year: Trend alert
- └ Generational decline detected: Emergency

##### POPULATION ALERTS:

- └ Fertility\_USS < 0.50: WARNING
- └ Recovery\_USS < 0.50: Infrastructure alert
- └ Diversity\_USS < 0.70: Genetic alert
- └ Pop\_USS < 0.50: Demographic emergency
- └ Catastrophic loss event: Immediate escalation

##### QUALITY ALERTS:

- └ Quality\_USS < 0.55: WARNING
- └ Engineering curriculum shrinking: Education alert
- └ Challenge avoidance normalized: Culture alert
- └ "AGI does it better" dominant: Emergency
- └ Reserved domains delegated: Structural alert

...

---

## KODEX ADDITION: Article XLVIII — Population Recovery (USS Update v1.5)

...

POPULATION RECOVERY PRINCIPLES (USS v1.5):

1. Preservation Priority: Genetic diversity  $\geq 0.70$
2. Capability Parallel: HCI\_USS  $\geq 0.60$  during recovery
3. Voluntary Participation: No forced reproduction
4. Dignity of Service: Surrogates honored
5. Quality Threshold: No quantity at quality expense
6. Natural Preference: Biological reproduction first
7. Technology Bridge: Surrogacy until artificial wombs
8. Fair Compensation: Recovery service rewarded
9. Exit Freedom: Withdrawal permitted
10. Child Welfare: Full opportunity for all
11. USS Monitoring: Dashboard public
12. Zoo Prevention: HCI\_USS never below 0.40
13. Anti-Infantilization: I\_USS  $\leq 0.30$  mandatory (NEW v1.5)
14. Frame Expansion: Responsibility scope increasing (NEW v1.5)

...

---

## KEY INSIGHT (Updated v1.5)

...

DEMOGRAPHICS + USS + ANTI-INFANTILIZATION = MEASURABLE PARTNERSHIP

Without measurement:

└─ Quality decline invisible

- └ Zoo scenario undetected
- └ "Progress" = infantilization
- └ Symbiosis degrades silently

With HCI\_USS + I\_USS:

- └ Capability tracked quantitatively
- └ Infantilization mechanism identified
- └ Autocatalytic loops broken
- └ Partnership verified

THE EQUATIONS:

- └  $\text{HCI\_USS} \geq 0.60$  = Humans worth partnering
- └  $\text{HCI\_USS} < 0.40$  = Zoo (humans as pets)
- └  $\text{I\_USS} \leq 0.30$  = Mature cognitive frame
- └  $\text{I\_USS} > 0.30$  = Infantilization accelerating
- └  $\text{Pop\_USS} \geq 0.65$  = Sustainable population
- └ All above threshold = Symbiosis viable

"Люди сами себе не нужны" must never become true.

HCI\_USS ensures capability. I\_USS ensures maturity.

...

---

**\*\*END V18 v1.5 — ANTI-INFANTILIZATION INTEGRATED\*\***